BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2011-181-E - ORDER NO. 2011-474

AUGUST 9, 2011

IN RE:	Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s DSM/EE)	ORDER SETTING
	Cost Recovery Rider Application)	EFFECTIVE DATE OF
)	RIDER AND DATES FOR
)	RESPONSES

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") on Progress Energy Carolina's ("PEC") Application for a Demand-Side Management/Energy Efficiency ("DSM/EE") cost recovery rider in accordance with the Stipulation and Procedure and Mechanism for Recovery of Costs and Incentives for DSM/EE Programs approved by the Commission in Order No. 2009-373 in Docket No. 2008-251-E. The Company's requested rider is intended to collect forecasted program costs, net lost revenues and a Program Performance Incentive ("PPI") for the rate period from July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. The requested rider is also intended to collect the over-collection and/or under-collection of costs, net lost revenues, and the PPI during the test period from April 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011, as well as any true-ups from prior periods. PEC has requested the recovery of \$9,159,661 through the proposed rider, resulting in a rate of 0.302 cents/kWh for residential customers and 0.140 cents/kWh for general service customers. The Company's requested rates are applicable for the billing period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.

The Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") filed its Review of the Application on June 24, 2011, noting that much of the data contained in the Application was preliminary and estimated. In its review, ORS stated that in order to allow PEC to implement its Rider DSM/EE on July 1, 2011, ORS agreed to the rider becoming effective on a provisional basis on July 1, 2011 at the levels requested by PEC in its Application and in Robert P. Evans' testimony, contingent on additional reviews to be conducted by ORS and several commitments to be made by the Company regarding future filings.

On June 27, 2011, the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy ("SACE") and the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League ("SCCCL") filed a Petition to Intervene along with substantive comments regarding the Company's Application. SACE and SCCCL stated that while they generally support the Company's Application, they had concerns over the transparency of the EM&V process.

Given the proximity of the effective date of the requested rider to the Review Letter submitted by ORS and the Petition to Intervene and comments submitted by SACE and SCCCL, the Commission agrees to implement the Company's requested rider, and provide the Company until July 13, 2011 to respond to the recently-filed Review Letter and the Petition to Intervene and comments. Additionally, ORS may file reply comments to the Petition to Intervene and comments filed by SACE and SCCCL by the same date. The Commission will thereafter issue an order ruling on the Petition to Intervene as well as whether to implement some or all of the recommendations contained in the recent filings.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. PEC's requested DSM/EE Cost Recovery Rider shall be effective on July 1, 2011;
- 2. PEC may respond to the ORS Review Letter and the Petition to Intervene and associated comments filed by SACE and SCCCL on or before July 13, 2011; and
- 3. ORS may respond to the Petition to Intervene and associated comments filed by SACE and SCCCL on or before July 13, 2011.
- 4. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

John E. Howard, Chairman

ATTEST:

David A. Wright, Vice Chairman

(SEAL)